

RBWH Foundation Grants Research Application Guidelines and Supporting Materials

The RBWH Foundation, with generous support of our donors, is pleased to provide the RBWH Foundation Grants.

The purpose of the RBWH Foundation is to save lives through the extraordinary power of giving. Our mission is that together, we advance patient care and life-saving research.

Contents

Eligibility Criteria	2
Application Submission	2
Procurement	2
Guide to Application Questions	3
Review Process	4
Terms & Conditions	4
Appendix 1 Sample Application	i-vii
Annendix 2 Scoring Criteria	viii-iv



Eligibility Criteria

- 1. Research projects that benefit patients treated through the Herston Health Precinct by improving outcomes, enhancing wellbeing, and enriching the patient experience and/or environment.
- 2. Research projects where the primary applicant (Lead investigator) holds an appointment with Metro North Health.
- 3. Projects that can be completed within 12 months of the award letter being received, and/or full governance approvals, if applicable.
- 4. RBWH Foundation Grant Round 5 will prioritise Research projects led by Early Career Applicants.

Application Submission

- Applications to the RBWH Foundation Grants are accessible and submitted through our online grants platform: https://rbwhfoundation.grantplatform.com/.
- Applicants are to register using an @health.qld.gov.au OR an @...edu.au email address. Applications are limited to **one submission per individual as lead investigator**, however there can be multiple submissions per department.
- A lead investigator may hold no more than one funded RBWH Foundation Grant at any one time.
 Where a lead investigator is in receipt of a RBWH Foundation Grant, they will not be eligible for any subsequent rounds until the project is completed and grant is fully acquitted. A lead investigator may be listed as a collaborator on other submissions.
- All **Collaborators** should be listed. This may include, but is not limited to, staff on the Herston Health Precinct, project team members holding academic positions, and/or consumers. There is no limit to the number of collaborators that may be included within a submission, although a description of each collaborator's involvement in the project is required. There is no requirement for collaborators to sign their approval of the submission, however, lead applicants are required to confirm that they have communicated the listed collaborators about the submission.
- The lead investigator has a responsibility to ensure project expenditure is in accordance with the approved application budget, and that they will liaise with the relevant Business Manager or Financial Delegate to monitor project expenditure.
- **Endorsement steps** upon submission, your listed Business Manager and Service Line Director will receive an automated notification to either approve or reject the application.
 - This will be facilitated by the applicant filling in the correct email addresses of their Business Manager (BM) and Service Line Director (SLD).
 - o If the applicant is unsure of the SLD email address, please check with your appropriate BM.
 - o BM and SLD should be from MNH (please <u>do not</u> nominate an academic affiliated cost centre).
 - It is advised that the applicant discuss their initiative with their respective BM and SLD prior to submit their application.

<u>Procurement</u>

Project-related purchases/procurement of assets, goods or services must be coordinated through Metro North. The Foundation will not pay external suppliers directly.





Guide to Application Questions

1. Eligibility

2. Applicant's details

Including for RBWH Foundation information only:

- i. Indicate if the applicant has previously received funding from the Foundation,
- ii. *Together, we advance patient care and life-saving research.* Please provide a brief description of how you have/or would like to engage with and/or support the RBWH Foundation.

3. Research Project information

- a. See the blank application form (Appendix 1)
- b. **[NOT MANDATORY]** Detailed research plan. If applicants would like to provide further details about their project, there is an **option** to upload a 3-page maximum plan, comprehending further information about methodology, timeline, recruitment, etc.

4. Budget (excluding GST)

This can include staff time, cost of performing and evaluating the project, equipment purchase, dissemination of findings and must include acknowledgement of Foundation funding. Institute overheads and indirect costs cannot be funded.

- a. Does your application include the purchase of equipment and/or medical devices. If you select yes, you'll be asked to provide details.
- b. Provide a detailed budget required for your project, encompassing all relevant items. Include in-kind contributions, existing funding sources, scholarships, and any other financial elements not applicable to this grant round.

Example budget:

1	In-kind Lead investigator (0.2 FTE, 6 months)	\$35,000
2	Clinical back-fill salary (HP4, 0.2 FTE, 9 months)	\$30,000
3	Analytical consumables	\$10,000
4 In-kind Statistical support \$10,000		\$10,000
	Total	\$85,000

c. Specify the amount you're requesting from the RBWH Foundation at this round.

Example: \$40,000.

d. Specify for what numbered items from your full budget you are requesting Foundation funds.

Example: Items 2 and 3.

e. Budget justification: briefly justify the funds requested and the reasoning for each item requested (max 200 words).

5. List your collaborators

6. **Upload of any supporting documents** (Quotes, Ethics approvals/exemptions, Site Specific Assessment, etc).

7. Endorsements





Review Process

Completed applications that meet the eligibility criteria and align with the Foundation Mission will be assessed by at least three reviewers and an Advisory Panel with representation from RBWH, STARS and consumers. The RBWH Foundation and the Advisory Panel may liaise with other funding agencies to discuss any overlap between applications to avoid duplication of funding.

Applications will be evaluated based upon the information submitted, no further clarification will be sought. Applications will be evaluated on the Clinical Impact and Implementation (40%), the described Methodology, including risks and mitigation strategies (30%) and Project Feasibility (30%), according to the **Scoring Criteria for Research** (Appendix 2).

There is no requirement to upload a curriculum vitae or track record (of publications or prior funding received). There is an opportunity to upload supporting documents that provides evidence of feasibility (e.g. methodology, evaluation techniques, quotes, Ethics Approvals).

Terms & Conditions

The grant is conditional upon the following:

- 1. The total amount of the grant will be applied to the nominated project and no other project, and that expenditure will be in accordance with the budget specified in the grant application.
- 2. The applicant must hold an appointment at RBWH, STARS, a Metro North Institute*, or the Metro North Office of Chief Executive on the Herston Health Precinct for the duration of the grant.
- 3. The project receives appropriate ethical and governance approval specific to the awarded project, with evidence of submission within 3 months of acceptance; OR that ethical exemption be provided.
- 4. The submission of two reports via the online grant platform:
 - A progress report to be submitted six months after commencement date.
 - A final report to be provided on completion of the project.
- 5. Appropriate acknowledgment and recognition that the project was made possible through RBWH Foundation funding and our generous donors:
 - Physical contributions (i.e., equipment, furniture, etc.) are to be acknowledged with a
 plaque or a framed certificate on spaces, using templates with approved branding,
 provided by RBWH Foundation, and in accordance with Metro North Health guidelines.
 - The cost of the RBWH Foundation acknowledgement will be part of the approved funding.
- 6. Any equipment purchased using the grant funding is to remain within and property of Metro North Health (MNH) at the end of the project.
- 7. The recipient agrees to participate in media activities, such as photography and videography, and gives permission for their image to be featured alongside their research on the Foundation's website and other suitable promotional materials. The recipient agrees to attend and speak at Foundation events for the purpose of engaging with donors and community partners in an Ambassadorial role.
- 8. You must notify the Foundation, as soon as reasonably possible, if any of these conditions have not been or are unlikely to be met.

Sample form, not for offline completion.

Visit https://rbwhfoundation.grantplatform.com to apply.



Advancing patient care and life-saving research

RBWHF Grant Round 5 - RESEARCH

To streamline application, documentation and communications processes, we strongly recommend that Lead Applicant and the grant writer are the same individual, using the same email address and name. Project title By proceeding with the RBWH Foundation Grant application, you agree to the RBWH Foundation Privacy here . Collection Statement To confirm eligibility and proceed with your application, please click the purple 'Check Eligibility' button. 1. Will your proposed Research benefit patients treated through the Herston Health Precinct by improving outcomes, enhancing wellbeing, and enriching the patient experience and/or environment? Yes O No 2. Do you hold an appointment at Metro North Health? Yes O No

3. Is your proposed Research project able to be completed within 12 months (date as full governance approvals,

O Yes

O No

where applicable)?

To streamline application, documentation and communications processes, we strongly recommend that Lead Applicant and the grant writer are the same individual, using the same email address and name.

Title
•
Doctor
Professor
Assoc. Professor
Mr
Mrs
Ms
Other
First name
Last name
Position/Role
Department and/or Service Line
Primary organisation
•
RBWH
STARS
MNH Institutes
Other
Email address
Phone (optional)

Mobile (optional)
Are you of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander origin?
•
No
Yes, Aboriginal
Yes, Torres Strait Islander
Yes, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander
Have you previously received RBWH Foundation grant or funding? This includes RBWH Foundation co-funding of RBWH-SERTA grants, fellowships, ad-hoc and/or out-of-round funding etc.
N.
No
Yes
Note: the purpose of this question is for data collection and impact understanding. Your response is only visible to the RBWH Foundation team and will not influence assessment of this application.
Together, we advance patient care and life-saving research. Please provide a brief description of how you have/or 150 would like to engage with and/or support the RBWH Foundation.
While Foundation support isn't an eligibility criterion for funding, it is only through our collective community effort that funds are available for research and patient care through our Grant rounds.
Career stage
•
Early Career Applicant
Experienced
An early career researcher is generally an individual within eight years of completing their research higher degree (MPhil, PhD or equivalent). However, this is inclusive of those who may not hold a research higher degree, but are within their first 8 years of active research.
Project title 10 words
Keywords (3-5 words, separated by comma)
Is this a new or existing project?
·
New
Existing

Lay summary	150 words
	^
Describe the overall aims and expected outcomes of your Research project, and the patient benefit in simple tern highly technical terms. This information may be used in grant announcements, media releases and website.	ns. Avoid the use of
Outline your project	600 words
Your Research project outline should include scope, aim(s), brief methodology, and intended outcomes.	
How will this project improve patient outcomes?	400 words
Clearly describe what clinical need your Research project proposes to address, the intended clinical impact and/or including translation pathway. Include how many people you expect this Research project will impact, both direct	•
How will the success of this project be measured?	350 words
Include outcome measures, evaluation mechanisms, and quantifiable benefits of your Research project e.g. reduced mortality, improved treatment outcomes, reduced pain, staff and other resources alleviated, etc.	ced length of stay,
There are risks associated with any project. List a minimum of 1 identified risk (maximum 3) and the	250
accompanying mitigation strategies.	words
Consider any risk related to the estimated cost of delivering your project (including changes to equipment pricing,	wages)
consider any fisk related to the estimated cost of delivering your project (including changes to equipment pricing,	, wages).
Does your project involve consumer engagement?	
Yes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
No	
In the context of health policy, services and care, a consumer is a person who uses, has used or is a potential user and information. Consumers can participate as individuals, community groups, consumer organisations or consu	
Do you believe your project could have secured funding from other sources?	
	•
Yes	
No	

Note: The purpose of this question is for RBWH Foundation data collection. **Your response will not be visible to reviewers and Advisory Panel**, and will not influence the assessment of this application.

OPTIONAL: (optional)	
Attach a Research project plan including key milestones and the completion date.	
OPTIONAL OPTIONAL	
Please use a font size minimum 11. Max 3 pages.	
As a guide, we recommend the following content for your Research project plan:	
Background - provide a brief background of your project (clinical rationale), explain why your project is needed, and how it will improve patient outcomes.	
Project Outline - detail your project scope, specific aims, methodology, and intended outcomes.	
Key milestones and impact measurement - explain how success will be measured and provide a timeline of key milestones and	nd
expected completion date of your project. Key References	
Does your application include the purchase of equipment and/or a medical device?	
	•
Yes	
No	
Please provide a full itemised budget for your initiative, encompassing all relevant items. Including, but not limited to	to, in-
kind contributions, existing funding sources, scholarships, and any other financial elements.	
Institute overheads and indirect costs cannot be funded.	
Item	Cost
1	
2	
3	
This can include staff time, the cost of performing and evaluating the initiative, equipment purchase cost, cost of disseminating in the cost of disseminating in	results
(excluding journal publication costs), and acknowledgement of Foundation funding.	
For what numbered items from the above table you are requesting funds from the RBWH Foundation through this	
application?	
E.g. 1, 3, 5	
What is the funding amount you are requesting from the RBWH Foundation?	
what is the funding amount you are requesting from the RBWH Foundation:	
Funds are capped at \$50,000. This should be the sum of the cost of the line items indicated on the above response.	
Budget Justification	300
	300 words

Appropriate acknowledgment and recognition that the project/initiative was made possible through RBWH Foundation funding and our generous donors:

• Physical contributions (e.g. equipment, furniture, refurbishment) are to be acknowledged with a plaque or a framed certificate in clinical and/or patient areas, using templates with approved branding, provided by RBWH Foundation, and in accordance with Metro North Health guidelines.

including from other national or interna	ational institutions?	·
		•
Yes		
No		
Title		
First name		
Last name		
Email		
Position		
Involvement		100 words
Please describe briefly the collaborator's col	atribution to your project	

Will this initiative require collaboration across Herston Health Precinct departments or with other team members.

Use this tab to upload equipment and/or medical device quotes, if available, or any other documentation that supports your application.

If you have Ethical approvals/exemptions and/or Site Specific Assessment (SSA) documentation, you may upload them here. If you are successful, you will be required to provide these before funding is distributed.

You can submit Word or PDF files of a maximum of 5MB size.

It is advised that the applicant discuss their project/initiative with their respective Business Manager (BM) and Service Line Director (SLD) prior to submit their application.

- The endorsement proecess will be facilitated by the applicant filling in the correct email addresses of their BM and SLD.
- Upon application submission, the platform will automatically notify BM, followed by SLD, requesting their endorsement. There is no requirement of their signature in any document.
- If the applicant is unsure of the SLD email address, please check with your appropriate BM.
- BM and SLD should be from RBWH, STARS, and/or MNH (please do not nominate an academic affiliated cost centre).

I confirm I have discussed this application with my Business Manager prior to submission.

Yes

Your Business Manager is the relevant person from your department that will review your budget and general aspects of your application, and who will be responsible for oversight the financial aspects of your funds if you are successful. Make sure you discuss your application with the right BM and provide their correct details. This will facilitate the endorsement period.

Business Manager

Service Line Director

P 1300 363 786 E info@rbwhfoundation.com.au PO Box 94, Royal Brisbane Hospital, Queensland, 4029

rbwhfoundation.com.au





RBWH Foundation Research Grant - Scoring Criteria

	Clinical Impact and Implementation (40%)	Methodology (30%)	Project Feasibility (30%)
	Highly developed and well-articulated plan for translation into practice.	 The project aims and research plan are: Supported by well justified hypothesis/rationale. Focused, well-defined, coherent with an excellent study design. 	Early Career Applicant: With evidence of excellent support (indicator through listed collaborators) and their mentorship is well described. Experienced applicant: Strong research team without major weaknesses in expertise.
5	Addresses an important unmet need for cohort of patients at RBWH/STARS.	Well identified and managed scientific and technical risks (including cross-departmental personnel if applicable).	Recruitment at RBWH and/or STARS is highly feasible (or strong evidence of access to retrospective data). The project is highly likely to be delivered in 12 months ¹ .
	Strong evidence of engagement with patients and consumers. Excellent engagement with frontline clinicians.	<u>Project would be competitive</u> with similar research proposals at the national/international level.	<u>Comprehensive budget</u> that <u>aligns</u> with project proposal and is <u>strongly justified</u> (e.g. cost estimates, recent quotes upload).
	<u>Clearly articulated plans</u> for further work towards translation.	 The project aims and research plan are: Supported by well justified hypothesis/rationale. Focused, well-defined, coherent with and a very good study design, few minor concerns. 	Early Career Applicant: With some evidence of support (indicated through collaborators) and their mentorship is described with some detail. Experienced applicant: Strong research team without major weaknesses in expertise.
4	Addresses an unmet need for patients at RBWH/STARS.	<u>Have identified and managed</u> scientific and technical <u>risks</u> , with several minor concerns.	Recruitment at RBWH and/or STARS appears feasible (or good evidence of access to patient data). The project is likely to be <u>delivered</u> in 12 months ¹ .
	Strong evidence of engagement with patients and <u>consumers</u> . Good engagement with <u>frontline clinicians</u> .	<u>Project the potential to be competitive</u> with high quality, similar research proposals at the national/international level.	Appropriate budget that aligns with project proposal and is well justified (e.g. cost estimates, recent quotes upload).

_

¹ Project Commencement is effective as of the date of all required ethics and governance approvals, and when RBWH Foundation receives evidence of these.



	Clinical Impact and Implementation (40%)	Methodology (30%)	Project Feasibility (30%)
	<u>Clear potential</u> for translation in practice.	 The project aims and research plan are: Supported by a sound hypothesis/ rationale. Logical, generally clear in the study design with several minor concerns. 	Research team generally strong but with one clear weakness or gap in expertise.
3	Builds on work in addressing an identified clinical need at RBWH/STARS	Have identified and managed scientific and technical <u>risks</u> , with several major concerns.	Recruitment at RBWH and/or STARS appears feasible (or good evidence of access to patient data). The project appears feasible to be <u>delivered</u> in 12 months.
	Some engagement with patients and consumers and frontline clinicians indicated/planned.	<u>Project the potential to be competitive</u> with high quality, similar research proposals at the state/national level.	Appropriate budget that aligns with project proposal and is reasonably justified (e.g. cost estimates, recent quotes upload).
	Possible potential for translation in practice.	 The project aims and research plan are: Supported by a satisfactory hypothesis/rationale. Supported by satisfactory study design with several major concerns/weaknesses. 	Research team reasonable (indicated through collaborators), with more than one significant weakness in expertise.
2	Addresses an <u>identified clinical need</u> at RBWH/STARS.	<u>Limited evidence of identified and managed</u> scientific and technical <u>risks</u> , with several major concerns.	Recruitment at RBWH and/or STARS may be feasible. The project is unlikely to be <u>delivered</u> in 12 months.
	<u>Little evidence of engagement</u> with patients, consumers, and frontline clinicians.	<u>Project may be competitive</u> with similar research proposals at the state/national level.	Budget that poorly aligns with project proposal and is poorly justified.
	No clear translational relevance.	 The project aims and research plan are: Underpinned by weak hypothesis/ rationale. Significantly flawed in study design, with several major concerns/weaknesses. 	Feasibility of overall project is doubtful. Evident lack of support and expertise.
1	Repetition of previous work in addressing an identified clinical need at RBWH/STARS	Little to no evidence of identified and managed scientific and technical risks.	Recruitment at RBWH and/or STARS is doubtful. The project is highly unlikely to be delivered in 12 months.
	Little to no evidence of engagement with patients, consumers, and frontline clinicians.	<u>Project would not be competitive</u> with similar research proposals at the state/national level.	<u>Inappropriate budget</u> that <u>does not align</u> with initiative proposal.